

COURSE SYLLABUS

PhD Program in Political Science

Political Sociology and Political Economy

Inna Melnykovska and András Bozóki

Winter 2020-21 (8 ECTS)
Module: Mandatory and core
Class meetings: Appointment
Office hours: Appointment

Introduction

This is an eight ETCS credit PhD course designed to help students who are interested in the intersections between political science, sociology and political economy, and who are open to both qualitative and quantitative methods. The political sociology section of the course, conducted by András Bozóki, focuses on five major topics: 1. politics and society and the structure of political power, 2. the development of the modern state and its social functions, 3. elite theories, old and new, 4. theories of intellectuals, technocracy and New Class 5. the concept of civil society and the role of social movements.

The political economy section of the course, taught by Inna Melnykovska, highlights key concepts and core theoretical debates in political economy about the origins and effects of (formal and informal) institutions and the variety of capitalist systems, as well as about the interplay between domestic, regional and inter-/transnational processes, the interaction of structure and agency and about the role of (public and private) power and (democratic and illiberal) politics in building modern capitalism.

Learning outcomes

With the help of the course the student should acquire the ability to:

1. understand basic concepts used in the fields of political economy and political sociology;
2. competently discuss key phenomena such as political power, the state, political culture, elites, markets, capitalism and democracy etc.
3. analyze political processes from a sociological and an economic angle and to be able to formulate independently generated and theoretically based research questions in these fields;
4. understand major traditional, mainly theoretical approaches in political sociology and political economy, as well as be familiar with the more contemporary, approaches.

All the activities are design to develop and advance students' analytical skills. The position papers are expected to improve the ability to identify the most relevant aspects of a scholarly argument, to establish links between different publications, to discriminate between scholarly and unscholarly arguments, and to channel the knowledge one obtained from sources inside and

outside of class-work into the criticism of particular articles. The class activity will center on the readings, helping the students to synthesize information, determine focus points, and discern the main line of argumentation. The obligation to submit an essay will develop the skills to build up a coherent argument. All aspects of the class contribute to develop the skill of problem analysis in international context: the ability to understand problems in cross-national comparative perspective and to discuss them with students of different cultural background.

Course requirements and assessment

Description of requirements

Students are expected to be present at all meetings. If one is unable to attend the class, (s)he should signal this via an e-mail to the lecturer. Activity in the classroom can be complemented with questions, suggestions and comments (maximum 200 words) uploaded to the e-learning site before or after the class discussion. The use of electronic devices (laptops, tablets, e-readers, phones, etc.) is kindly discouraged.

The course is organized around debates about the readings. Typically, the seminars will start with a short general introduction to the topic followed by the discussion based on the readings of the week, usually one reading per seminar.

Evaluation

- (1) Two in-class presentations: 10+10%
- (2) One book review: 10%
- (3) Six position papers: 20%
- (4) Class activity: 20%
- (5) Final essay: 30%

Presentation. You need to give two short (ten-minutes long) presentations that cover a sub-topic of the theme of the week. The presentations need to be based on a short handout, circulated to other seminar participants one day prior to the class. The first presentation will take place in the political sociology part of the course, the second in the political economy section.

Position papers. You should submit 3 position papers for the Political Sociology part and 3 position papers for the Political Economy part; 6 position papers in total. A position paper should be app. 1,5 pages long. The paper may refer to one of the mandatory readings assigned to the particular week or to more of them. You are expected to summarize in max 2-3 paragraphs the key point(s) of the reading(s) and comment on them relying on previous readings and lectures. You should refer to at least one additional academic text on the topic. The reproductive part (intelligent, selective summary that covers the major claims and techniques of the readings) is supposed to provide about 60 percent of the paper. The rest should consist of original ideas, commenting critically on the readings' concepts, design, methods, or findings. The paper should contain questions/comments that you would like to see discussed during the class.

Book review. You must write a book review on political sociology or political economy topic. The review needs to be submitted by March, 15th and should be around 1000 words long.

Class activity and submitted comments. Participation is measured not only by the quantity but also by the quality of contribution.

Final essay. The final 4000-words long paper should be focused on two recently published articles that present opposed, or at least different, views on a substantive, conceptual or

methodological matter in the field of political economy or political sociology. The essay may elaborate on the topic of one of the presentations. The essay should highlight and evaluate the differences and aim at solving the controversy. The final essay is due by April 12th.

Course program

Description

Students are expected to be present at all meetings. If one is unable to attend the class, (s)he should signal this via an e-mail to the lecturer. Activity in the classroom can be complemented with questions, suggestions and comments (maximum 200 words) uploaded to the e-learning site before or after the class discussion. The use of electronic devices (laptops, tablets, e-readers, phones, etc.) is kindly discouraged.

The course is organized into lectures and seminars. Typically, the first meeting of the week is a lecture, while the second is a seminar. The mandatory literature must be read before the seminars. This format is, however, flexible, depending on the progress in the class and the character of specific topics.

WEEK 1. Interpretations of politics and society

Bendix, Reinhard 1977. "Basic Concepts of Political Sociology," in *Max Weber: An Intellectual Portrait*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 285-297.

Bourdieu, Pierre 1983. „Forms of Capital” in J. G. Richardson (ed.), *Handbook of Theory and Research for the Sociology of Education*. New York: Greenwood Press, 241-258.

Further readings

Weber, Max 1978. *Economy and Society: An Outline of Interpretive Sociology*. Berkeley: University of California Press

Durkheim, Émile 1984. *The Division of Labor in Society*. New York: Free Press, 68-87.

Weber, Max 1990. *Basic Concepts in Sociology*. New York: Citadel Press

Durkheim, Émile 1992. „What Is a Social Fact” in É. Durkheim : *The Rules of Sociological Method*. London: Macmillan

Nash, Kate 2000. *Contemporary Political Sociology: Globalization, Politics, and Power*, Blackwell Publishers, Malden, Mass. 1-19.

Crossley, Nick 2005. *Key Concepts in Critical Social Theory*. Los Angeles: Sage

Hay, Colin 2007. *Why We Hate Politics*. Cambridge: Polity Press

Schmitt, Carl 2007 [1929] *The Concept of the Political*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press

WEEK 2. The structure of political power

Michael Mann 1986. „Societies as Organized Power Networks” in M. Mann: *The Sources of Power*. Vol.1. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1-33.

Lukes, Steven 1986. “Introduction” in *Power*, ed. by Steven Lukes. New York: New York University Press, 1-18.

Weber, Max 1991. „The Sociology of Charismatic Authority” in H. H. Gerth and C. W. Mills eds. *From Max Weber: Essays in Sociology*. London: Routledge, 245-262.

Further readings

Foucault, Michel 1980. *Power / Knowledge*. New York: Pantheon Books

Bourdieu, Pierre 1991. *Language and Symbolic Power*. Cambridge: Polity Press

Hay, Colin. 2002. *Political Analysis*. Basingstoke: Palgrave, Chapter 5. 168-193.

Haugaard, Mark ed., 2002. *Power: A Reader*. Manchester: Manchester University Press

Lukes, Steven 2005. *Power: A Radical View*. New York: New York University Press, 14-59.

Dahl, Robert A. 1961. *Who Governs? Democracy and Power in an American City*. New Haven: Yale University Press. 1-8., 85-86.

Dahl, Robert A. (1958) “A Critique of the Ruling Elite Model” *American Political Science Review* 52: 463-9.

Smith, Martin J. 2009. *Power and the State*. Basingstoke: Palgrave.

WEEK 3. The modern state

Mann, Michael Mann, 1984. “The Autonomous Power of the State: Its Origins, Mechanisms and Results” *European Journal of Sociology* 25(2) : 185-213.

Theda Skocpol, 1985. „Bringing the State Back in: Strategies of Analysis in Current Research” in Peter Evans et al. eds. *Bringing the State Back In*, New York: Cambridge U. P. 3-37.

Acemoglu, Daron and James A. Robinson 2012. *Why Nations Fail: The Origins of Power, Prosperity and Poverty*. London: Profile, 96-123.

Further readings

Tilly, Charles, 1985. „War Making and State Making as Organized Crime” in P. Evans et al. eds. *Bringing the State Back In*. New York Cambridge University Press, 169-191.

Barrow, Clyde 1993. *Critical Theories of the State*. Madison: The University of Wisconsin Press, 51-76. 96-124.

Bourdieu, Pierre 1994. ‘Rethinking the State: Genesis and Structure of the Bureaucratic Field’ *Sociological Theory*. 12 (1), 1-18.

Jessop, Bob 2002. *The Future of the Capitalist State*. Cambridge: Polity Press

Spruyt, Hendrik 2002. 'The origins, development, and possible decline of the modern state', *Annual Review of Political Science*, 5, 127-149

Fukuyama, Francis 2004. "The Imperative of State-Building" *Journal of Democracy*, Vol. 15. No. 2. April, 17-31.

Capoccia, Giovanni and Daniel Ziblatt 2010. 'The Historical Turn in Democratization Studies: A New Research Agenda for Europe and Beyond' *Comparative Political Studies* 43, 8–9: 931–953.

Andersen, David, Jørgen Møller, Lasse Lykke Rørbæk, Svend-Erik Skaaning 2014. "State Capacity and Political Regime Stability" *Democratization* 21:7, 1305-1325.

Magyar, Bálint 2016. *Post-Communist Mafia State: The Case of Hungary*. Budapest – New York: CEU Press

WEEK 3.

Elite theory, old and new

Pareto, Vilfredo 1997. „The Governing Elite in Present-Day Democracy” in Eva Etzioni-Halévy ed. *Classes and Elites in Democracy and Democratization*. New York: Garland, 47-52.

Michels, Robert 1997. „The Oligarchical Tendencies in Working Class Organizations” in Eva Etzioni-Halévy ed. *Classes and Elites in Democracy and Democratization*. New York: Garland, 243-250.

Mills, C. Wright, 1956. *The Power Elite*. Oxford-New York: Oxford University Press, 3-29.

Further readings

Mosca, Gaetano 1967 [1939]. Excerpts from *The Ruling Class*. In Harry K. Girvetz ed. *Democracy and Elitism*. New York: Scribner's, 280-299.

Dahl, Robert A. 1958. "A Critique of the Ruling Elite Model." *American Political Science Review* 52: 463-9.

Keller, Suzanne 1968. „Elites” in David Sills ed. *International Encyclopedia of the Social Sciences*. Vol. 5. London: Macmillan, 26-29.

Parry, Geraint, 1969. „The Classical Elitist Thesis” in G. Parry: *Political Elites*. London: George Allen & Unwin, 30-63.

Bachrach, Peter 1969. *The Theory of Democratic Elitism: A Critique*. London: University of London Press

Burton, Michael G. Burton and John Higley 1987. „Invitation to Elite Theory: The Basic Contentions Reconsidered” in G. William Domhoff and Thomas R. Dye eds. *Power Elites and Organizations*. Newbury Park: Sage, 219-238.

Borchert, Jens 2009. "They ain't Making Elites Like They Used to: The Never-ending Trouble with Democratic Elitism" *Comparative Sociology*, 8(3): 345-363.

Best, Heinrich and John Higley 2010. *Democratic Elitism: New Theoretical and Comparative Perspectives*. Leiden: Brill.

Lind, Michael 2020. *The New Class War: Saving Democracy from the Metropolitan Elite*. London: Atlantic Books

WEEK 5. Intellectuals, technocracy, new class

Michels, Robert, 1937. „Intellectuals” *Encyclopedia of the Social Sciences*, 118-125.

Karabel, Jerome 1996, „Towards a Theory of Intellectuals and Politics” *Theory and Society*, 25(2): 205-233.

Eyal, Gil and Larissa Buchholz, 2010. „From the Sociology of Intellectuals to the Sociology of Interventions” *Annual Review of Sociology*, 117-137.

Further readings

Benda, Julien 1955. *The Betrayal of the Intellectuals*. Boston: The Beacon Press

Mannheim, Karl (1936), *Ideology and Utopia*. New York: Harcourt, Brace and Company, 97-171.

Gramsci, Antonio 1971. „The Intellectuals” in *Selections from Prison Notebooks*. Ed. by Q. Hoare & G. N. Smith. London: Lawrence and Wishart, 5-23.

Malia, Martin 1961. „What Is the Intellectual?” in Richard Pipes ed. *The Russian Intelligentsia*. New York: Columbia University Press, 1-18.

Shils, Edward 1972. „The Intellectuals and the Powers: Some Perspectives for Comparative Analysis” in E. Shils: *The Intellectuals and the Powers and Other Essays*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 3-22.

Gouldner, Alvin 1979. *The Future of Intellectuals and the Rise of New Class*. New York: The Seabury Press

Bauman, Zygmunt 1987. *Legislators and Interpreters*. Ithaca - New York: Cornell U. P.

Said, Edward W. 1994. *Representations of the Intellectual*. London: Vintage, 3-17.

Eyal, Gil, Iván Szelényi and Eleanor Townsley, 1998. *Making Capitalism without Capitalists: The New Ruling Elites in Eastern Europe*. London: Verso, 86-112.

Bozóki, András and Ágnes Simon 2020. “Rolling Stones: Dissident Intellectuals in Hungary (1977-1994)” *East European Politics*, DOI: [10.1080/21599165.2020.1813115](https://doi.org/10.1080/21599165.2020.1813115)

WEEK 6. Civil society and social movements

Michnik, Adam 1985. *Letters from Prison and Other Essays*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 135-148.

Eyerman, Ron & Andrew Jamison 1991. „Social Movements, Their Intellectuals” in Eyerman & Jamison: *Social Movements: A Cognitive Approach*. Cambridge: Polity Press, 94-119.

Tarrow, Sidney, 1994. *Power in Movement - Social Movements, Collective Action and Politics*. Cambridge: Cambridge U. P., 1994. 81-100.

Further readings

Gramsci, Antonio 1971. „State and Civil Society” in *Selections from the Prison Notebooks*. New York: International Publishers. 206-275.

Adam Seligman 1992. *The Idea of Civil Society*. New York : The Free Press

Falk, Barbara 2003. *Dilemmas of Dissidence in Eastern Europe*. Budapest: CEU Press

Della Porta, Donatella 2007. „The Global Justice Movement: An Introduction” in della Porta ed. *The Global Justice Movement: A Cross-National and Transnational Perspective*. Boulder: Paradigm Publishers, 1-28.

Kriesi, Hanspeter 2008. “Political Context and Opportunity” In Snow, D. A., S. A. Soule, and H. Kriesi eds. *The Blackwell Companion to Social Movements*. London: John Wiley & Sons, 67-90.

Van Deth, Jan W. 2014. “A Conceptual Map of Political Participation” *Acta Politica* 49(3): 349-367

Grasso, Maria T. and Marco Giugni 2016. “Protest Participation and Economic Crisis: The conditioning Role of Political Opportunities” *European Journal of Political Research*, 55(4): 663-680.

Bernhard, Michael 2020. “What Do We Know about Civil Society and Regime Change Thirty Years after 1989?” *East European Politics*, DOI: [10.1080/215999165.2020.1787160](https://doi.org/10.1080/215999165.2020.1787160)

WEEK 7. States and markets

We analyze the role played by states in creating rules and managing markets and explores the relationship between the capacities and independence of core state institutions and social and economic development. We will distinguish between different types of the state and discuss their sources. We will also address the roles that are played by public power in bringing about and developing modern capitalism.

Compulsory readings

North, D., Wallis, J., Webb, S., & Weingast, B. (2012). Limited Access Orders. In D. North, J. Wallis, S. Webb, & B. Weingast (Eds.), *In the Shadow of Violence: Politics, Economics, and the Problems of Development* (pp. 1-23). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/CBO9781139013611.001

Evans, P.B., & Heller, P.R. (2015). Human Development, State Transformation and the Politics of the Developmental State. In S. Leibfried, E. Huber, M. Lange, J. D. Levy, F. Nullmeier & J. D. Stephens (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of transformations of the state* (pp. 691–713). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Mazzucato, M. (2013). *The Entrepreneurial State: Debunking Public Vs. Private Sector Myths*, Anthem Press, Chapter 3 and 4.

Further readings

Daron Acemoglu and James A Robinson. 2012. *Why Nations Fail: The Origins of Power, Prosperity, and Poverty*. Crown Books

Daron Acemoglu et al. 2018. "Democracy Does Cause Growth." *Journal of Political Economy* 127, no. 1 (September): 47–100

Daron Acemoglu, Simon Johnson, and James A. Robinson. 2001. "The Colonial Origins of Comparative Development: An Empirical Investigation." *American Economic Review* 91 (5): 1369–1401

Daron Acemoglu, Simon Johnson, and James A. Robinson. 2012. "The Colonial Origins of Comparative Development: An Empirical Investigation: Reply." *American Economic Review* 102 (6): 3077–3110

David Y. Albouy. 2012. "The Colonial Origins of Comparative Development: An Empirical Investigation: Comment." *American Economic Review* 102 (6): 3059–76

Douglass C North and Barry R Weingast. 1989. "Constitutions and Commitment: The Evolution of Institutions Governing Public Choice in Seventeenth-Century England." *The Journal of Economic History* 49 (4): 803–832

Evans, P. (2010). Constructing the 21st century developmental state: Potentialities and pitfalls. In O. Edigheji (Ed.), *Constructing a democratic developmental state in South Africa: Potentials and challenges* (pp. 37–58). Cape Town: HSRC Press.

László Bruszt and Nauro F. Campos (2017) ["State Capacity and Economic Integration: Evidence from the Eastern Enlargement"](#) EUI Working Paper RSCAS 2017/52

North, D., Wallis, J., & Weingast, B. (2009). *Violence and social orders: A conceptual framework for interpreting recorded human history*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

North, Douglass C. and Barry R. Weingast (1989). "Constitutions and Commitment: The Evolution of Institutions Governing Public Choice in Seventeenth-Century England". *The Journal of Economic History*, 49, pp 803-832.

Stasavage, David (2002), "Credible Commitment in Early Modern Europe: North and Weingast Revisited," *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization* 18 (1), pp. 155-86.

Stephen Knack and Philip Keefer. 1997. "Does Social Capital Have an Economic Payoff? A Cross-Country Investigation." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 112 (4): 1251–1288

Collins, Randall (1980) 'Weber's Last Theory of Capitalism' *American Sociological Review*, December/1980, pp. 925-942

Weber, Max. *General Economic History* (1924) New York: Collier Books): chs 22-30 pp. 275-369.

WEEK 8.

Business and organized interests

We discuss various approaches to conceptualize business powers and the roles that business elites can play in social, economic and political processes. In particular, we will seek to identify

conditions that make business elites promote good governance and look for (good) institutions, even in the context of territorially expanding markets.

Compulsory readings

Handley, A. (2019). Doing Business Like a State: The Response to Social Crisis. In *Business and Social Crisis in Africa* (pp. 1-46). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/9781108635356.001

Gary S. Becker. 1983. "A Theory of Competition Among Pressure Groups for Political Influence." *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 98 (3): 371–400.

Abbott, K., & Snidal, D. (2009). The governance triangle: Regulatory standards institutions and the shadow of the state. In *The Politics of Global Regulation* (pp. 44-88). Princeton University Press.

Further readings

Braithwaite, John. *Regulatory Capitalism: How It Works, Ideas for Making It Work Better*. Cheltenham, UK; Northampton, MA: Edward Elgar, 2008 (Intro) pp.3-27

Durkheim, Emile 'Organic Solidarity and Contractual Solidarity' in *The Division of Labor in Society* Chapter 7, pp.149-75.

Mancur Olson. 1982. *The Rise and Decline of Nations*. Yale University Press. Ch. 1-3

Michael Becher, Daniel Stegmueller, and Konstantin Köppner. 2018. "Local Union Organization and Law Making in the US Congress." *The Journal of Politics* 80, no. 2 (February): 539–554.

Sung Eun Kim and Yotam Margalit. 2017. "Informed Preferences? The Impact of Unions on Workers' Policy Views." *American Journal of Political Science* 61, no. 3 (July): 728–743.

Sunstein, Cass (1987) 'Lochners' Legacy', *Columbia Law Review*, pp. 873-91

[U.S. Supreme Court , West Coast Hotel CO. V. Parrish , 300 U.S. 379 \(1937\).](#)

Vogel, Steven Kent. 1996. *Freer Markets, More Rules: Regulatory Reform in Advanced Industrial Countries*. Ithaca, N.Y.: Cornell University Press.

Williamson, Oliver E. (1985). *The Economic Institutions of Capitalism: Firms, Markets, Relational Contracting* (New York: Free Press).

WEEK 9. Voters, parties and markets

Week 9 we will discuss the roles of voters' preferences and party politics for economic policies and development.

Compulsory readings

Rodrik, Dani. 2018. "Populism and the Economics of Globalization." *Journal of International Business Policy* 1 (1–2): 12–33. (21 pp.)

Owen, Erica, and Stefanie Walter. 2017. "Open Economy Politics and Brexit: Insights, Puzzles, and Ways Forward." *Review of International Political Economy* 24 (2): 179–202. (23 pp.)

Iversen, Torben and Soskice, David. 2006. "Electoral Institutions and the Politics of Coalitions: Why Some Democracies Redistribute More than Others." *American Political Science Review* 100 (02).

Further readings

Avinash Dixit and John Londregan. 1996. "The Determinants of Success of Special Interests in Redistributive Politics." *The Journal of Politics* 58 (4): 1132–1155.

Christopher J. Anderson. 2007. "The End of Economic Voting? Contingency Dilemmas and the Limits of Democratic Accountability." *Annual Review of Political Science* 10, no. 1 (May): 271–296

Farrell, Henry, and Abraham Newman. 2017. "BREXIT, Voice and Loyalty: Rethinking Electoral Politics in an Age of Interdependence." *Review of International Political Economy* 24 (2): 232–47. (23 pp.)

Krippner, Greta R. (2001) 'The Elusive Market: Embeddedness and the paradigm of economic sociology' in *Theory and Society* 30 pp. 775-810

Michael S. Lewis-Beck and Mary Stegmaier. 2000. "Economic Determinants of Electoral Outcomes." *Annual Review of Political Science* 3, no. 1 (June): 183–219

Polanyi Symposium: A Conversation on Embeddedness in *Socio-Economic Review* (2004) 2 109-35 <http://ser.oupjournals.org/cgi/reprint/2/1/109>

Polanyi, Karl (1944) *The Great Transformation*, Beacon Press 1957, chapters 6-8, 19, 20; pp. 68-103, 223-248

Raymond M. Duch and Randolph T. Stevenson. 2008. *The Economic Vote : How Political and Economic Institutions Condition Election Results*. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.

Streeck, Wolfgang (2009). *Re-forming capitalism: Institutional change in the German political economy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press : Introduction: Institutional Change, Capitalist Development pp.3-32

Streeck, Wolfgang. "The Crises of Democratic Capitalism." *New Left Review*, no. 71 (2011): 5–29.

Thomas R Cusack. 1999. "Partisan Politics and Fiscal Policy." *Comparative Political Studies* 32 (4): 464–486.

Walter Korpi and Joakim Palme. 2003. "New Politics and Class Politics in the Context of Austerity and Globalization: Welfare State Regress in 18 Countries, 1975-95." *American Political Science Review* 97 (3): 425–446.

WEEK 10. Varieties of capitalism

The tenth week will discuss diverse approaches to the variation in the core features of capitalism both across time and among national economies.

Compulsory readings

- Hall, Peter A., and David Soskice, eds. 2001. *Varieties of Capitalism: The Institutional Foundations of Comparative Advantage*. Oxford University Press., Selections from chapter 1, An Introduction to Varieties of Capitalism, pp. 1-44
- Bohle, Dorothee, and Béla Greskovits. 2012. *Capitalist Diversity on Europe's Periphery*. Ithaca, New York: Cornell University Press, chapter 2, pp. 55-95.

Further readings

- Margarita Estevez-Abe, Torben Iversen, and David Soskice. 2001. "Social Protection and the Formation of Skills: A Reinterpretation of the Welfare State." In *Varieties of Capitalism: The Institutional Foundations of Comparative Advantage*, edited by Peter A. Hall and David Soskice, 145:145–183.
- Peter A Hall and Kathleen Thelen. 2009. "Institutional Change in Varieties of Capitalism." *Socio-economic review* 7 (1): 7–34.
- Sewell, William H. 2008. "The Temporalities of Capitalism." *Socio-Economic Review* 6 (3): 517–37.
- Streeck, Wolfgang. 2009. *Re-Forming Capitalism: Institutional Change in the German Political Economy*. Oxford ; New York: Oxford University Press.
- Thelen, Kathleen. 2014. *Varieties of Liberalization and the New Politics of Social Solidarity*. Cambridge ; New York, N.Y: Cambridge University Press.
- Walter Korpi. 2006. "Power Resources and Employer-Centered Approaches in Explanations of Welfare States and Varieties of Capitalism: Protagonists, Consenters, and Antagonists." *World politics* 58 (2): 167–206.

WEEK 11. Democracy and capitalism

The topic of the discussions in the eleventh week is the question about the role played by democratic institutions in shaping the characteristics of market economies and in turn the effects of economic crisis on democratic processes.

Compulsory readings

- Merkel, Wolfgang. 2014. "Is Capitalism Compatible with Democracy?" *Zeitschrift Für Vergleichende Politikwissenschaft* 8 (2): 109–28.
- Torben Iversen and David Soskice. 2019. *Democracy and Prosperity: Reinventing Capitalism Through a Turbulent Century*. Princeton University Press Please read: Introduction
- Thomas Piketty. 2014. *Capital in the Twenty-First Century*. Cambridge, Mass. [u.a.]: The Belknap Press of Harvard Univ. Press; Introduction.

Further readings

Acemoglu, Daron and James A. Robinson. 2006. *Economic Origins of Dictatorship and Democracy*. Cambridge and New York: Cambridge University Press

Ansell, Ben W. and David J. Samuels. 2014. *Inequality and Democratization: An Elite-Competition Approach*. New York, N.Y.: Cambridge University Press

Bohle, Dorothee. 2014. "Responsible Government and Capitalism's Cycles." *West European Politics* 37 (2): 288–308.

Boix. Carles 2003. *Democracy and Redistribution*. Cambridge Studies in Comparative Politics. Cambridge, UK and New York: Cambridge University Press

Fabbrini, Sergio. 2017 "Intergovernmentalism in the European Union. A comparative federalism perspective" *Journal of European Public Policy*, 2017 Vol. 24, NO. 4, 580–597

Habermas, Jürgen (2014) "Democracy in Europe: Why the Development of the European Union into a Transnational Democracy is Necessary and How it is Possible?" ARENA Working Paper 13/2014 December 2014

Korpi. Walter 1985. "Economic Growth and the Welfare State: Leaky Bucket or Irrigation System?" *European Sociological Review* 1 (2): 97–118.

Offe, Claus. 2013. "Europe entrapped – does the EU have the political capacity to overcome its present crisis?", *European Law Journal*, 19 (5): 595–611.

Przeworski Adam and Michael Wallerstein. 1988. "Structural Dependence of the State on Capital." *American Political Science Review* 82 (1): 11–29
 Scharpf, Fritz W. 2013. "Monetary union, fiscal crisis and the disabling of democratic accountability", pp 108–142 in A. Schäfer & W. Streeck, eds., *Politics in the age of austerity*. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Rodrik, Dani (2014) *The Future of European Democracy*
<https://www.sss.ias.edu/files/pdfs/Rodrik/Commentary/Future-of-Democracy-in-Europe.pdf>

Welzel, Christian (2013) *Freedom Rising: Human Empowerment and the Quest for Emancipation* (Cambridge University Press).

WEEK 12. Transnational capitalism – a perspective from the peripheries

We will focus on the question how does the transnationalization of markets redistribute opportunities, vulnerabilities and wealth across national economies at different levels of development. Using examples from the European integration, it will also explore the question about strategies of managing core-periphery relations.

Compulsory readings

Nölke, A., & Vliegenthart, A. (2009). Enlarging the Varieties of Capitalism: The Emergence of Dependent Market Economies in East Central Europe. *World Politics*, 61(4), 670-702. doi:10.1017/S0043887109990098

László Bruszt & Julia Langbein (2020) Manufacturing development: how transnational market integration shapes opportunities and capacities for development in Europe's three peripheries, *Review of International Political Economy*, DOI: [10.1080/09692290.2020.1726790](https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290.2020.1726790)

Further readings

Armingeon, Klaus; Kai Guthmann and David Weisstanner (2015) "How the Euro divides the union: the effect of economic adjustment on support for democracy in Europe" *Socioeconomic Review* 14 (1): 1-26.

Bruszt, Laszlo and Visnja Vukov, 2017. "Making states for the single market: European integration and the reshaping of economic states in the Southern and Eastern peripheries of Europe" *West European Politics* Vol 40 N.4 pp. 663-68

Gereffi, Gary (2014) Global value chains in a post-Washington Consensus world, *Review of International Political Economy*, 21:1, 9-37, DOI: [10.1080/09692290.2012.756414](https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290.2012.756414)

Matthias Matthijs & Kathleen McNamara (2015) "The Euro Crisis' Theory Effect: Northern Saints, Southern Sinners, and the Demise of the Eurobond" *Journal of European Integration* Vol. 37/2 pp. 229-45

McNamara, Kathleen R. (2015) "The Forgotten Problem of Embeddedness - History Lessons for the Euro", in Matthias Matthijs and Mark Blyth eds. " [The Future of the Euro](https://kathleenrnmcmamara.files.wordpress.com/2012/08/forgotten-embeddedness-mcnamara-final.pdf)" <https://kathleenrnmcmamara.files.wordpress.com/2012/08/forgotten-embeddedness-mcnamara-final.pdf>

Wade Jacoby (2010) Managing globalization by managing Central and Eastern Europe: the EU's backyard as threat and opportunity, *Journal of European Public Policy*, 17:3, 416-432.